

**APPENDIX 1: MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT ROAD INVENTORY AND ASSESSMENT**

---

# Jefferson County Road Inventories Map Series

**October 2020**

**The following map series includes index locator maps along with mapped inventoried roads and corresponding PASER ratings dependent on surface type, collected from 2019 to 2020.**

- *Refer to tables included in maps for ratings*
- *Refer to tables included in maps for section ID (Jackson Cr Road Section 1)*



**JEFFERSON COUNTY**  
MONTANA





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
WICKS RD SECTION 3	1.3	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
WICKS RD SECTION 3	1.3	UNPAVED
FINN GULCH RD SECTION 2	3.1	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS








**General Notes:**


- ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:
  - ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS
    - RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT
    - RATING 8 - VERY GOOD
    - RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD
    - RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR
    - RATING 3 - 2 - POOR
    - RATING 1 - FAILED
  - GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS
    - RATING 5 - EXCELLENT
    - RATING 4 - GOOD
    - RATING 3 - FAIR
    - RATING 2 - POOR
    - RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)
- THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
WICKS RD SECTION 3	1.3	UNPAVED
FINN GULCH RD SECTION 2	3.1	UNPAVED
WICKES RD SECTION 2	3.9	UNPAVED
BLUEBIRD RD	2.3	UNPAVED
FINN GULCH RD SECTION 1	3.5	UNPAVED

- NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.
- AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



## PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS




## EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW

### MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
FINN GULCH RD SECTION 2	3.1	UNPAVED
WICKES RD SECTION 2	3.9	UNPAVED
FINN GULCH RD SECTION 1	3.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
BLUEBIRD RD	2.3	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS



**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
WICKES RD SECTION 3	1.3	UNPAVED
WICKES RD SECTION 2	3.9	UNPAVED
BLUEBIRD RD	2.3	UNPAVED
FINN GULCH RD SECTION 1	3.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
WICKES RD SECTION 2	3.9	UNPAVED
WICKES RD SECTION 1	8.2	PAVED
FINN GULCH RD SECTION 1	3.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
TIZER LAKE RD	3.2	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
TIZER LAKE RD	3.2	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 4	1.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CORBIN RD	3	UNPAVED
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.1	UNPAVED
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 4	1.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS



**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CORBIN RD	3	UNPAVED
ALTA ORE MINE RD	4.1	UNPAVED
CORBIN RD	7.6	PAVED
WICKES RD SECTION 1	8.2	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
TIZER LAKE RD	3.2	UNPAVED
CORBIN RD	3	UNPAVED
N MAIN ST	5.4	PAVED
CORBIN RD	7.6	PAVED
WICKES RD SECTION 1	8.2	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
TIZER LAKE RD	3.2	UNPAVED
N MAIN ST	5.4	PAVED
CORBIN RD	7.6	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CORBIN RD	3	UNPAVED
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 2	2.9	UNPAVED
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.1	UNPAVED
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 4	1.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
N MAIN ST	5.4	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 2	2.9	UNPAVED
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.1	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 2	2.9	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
N MAIN ST	5.4	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
WOODLAND PARK LP	3.6	UNPAVED
SPRUCE ST	3.3	UNPAVED
CREEKSIDE LN	3.4	UNPAVED
N MAIN ST	5.4	PAVED
WARM SPRINGS CREEK RD SECTION 2	3.1	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
WOODLAND PARK LP	3.6	UNPAVED
CREEKSIDE LN	3.4	UNPAVED
MIDDLE FORK RD	3.6	UNPAVED
WARM SPRINGS CREEK RD SECTION 2	3.1	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS



U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Services Agency Aerial Photography Field Office.





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CORRAL GULCH RD	2.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Services Agency Aerial Photography Field Office.

**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 4	3.4	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 2	2.9	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 2	2.9	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
N MAIN ST	5.4	PAVED
Old Alhambra Road	2.6	UNPAVED
N MAIN RD	6.4	PAVED
VIRGINIA CITY RD	4.3	UNPAVED
TIZER VIEW RD	4.3	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**




- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







## PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS



**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS
- RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT
  - RATING 8 - VERY GOOD
  - RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD
  - RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR
  - RATING 3 - 2 - POOR
  - RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS
- RATING 5 - EXCELLENT
  - RATING 4 - GOOD
  - RATING 3 - FAIR
  - RATING 2 - POOR
  - RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
WOODLAND PARK LP	3.6	UNPAVED
SPRUCE ST	3.3	UNPAVED
CREEKSIDE LN	3.4	UNPAVED
N MAIN ST	5.4	PAVED
Old Alhambra Road	2.6	UNPAVED
WARM SPRINGS CREEK RD SECTION 1	6.8	PAVED
N MAIN RD	6.4	PAVED
VIRGINIA CITY RD	4.3	UNPAVED
TIZER VIEW RD	4.3	UNPAVED
WARM SPRINGS CREEK RD SECTION 2	3.1	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



## EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW

### MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CORRAL GULCH RD	2.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 3	2.9	UNPAVED
CORRAL GULCH RD	2.8	UNPAVED
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 4	3.4	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.


4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**  
**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**  
 JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



**SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 3	2.9	UNPAVED
CORRAL GULCH RD	2.8	UNPAVED
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 4	3.4	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 2	2.9	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 2	2.9	UNPAVED
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 1	7.6	PAVED
N MAIN RD	6.4	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 2	2.9	UNPAVED
HAYNES ST	2.6	UNPAVED
SCHOOLHOUSE LN	2.2	PAVED
CLANCY CREEK RD SECTION 1	7.6	PAVED
CHOCHECHERRY LN	3.9	UNPAVED
CUTOFF RD	7.8	PAVED
N MAIN RD	6.4	PAVED
SHADY LN	8.1	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CORRAL GULCH RD	2.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
CORRAL GULCH RD	2.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 3	2.9	UNPAVED
TRAVIS CREEK RD	2.3	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 3	2.9	UNPAVED
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 2	2.1	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:


- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED

- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
HALFORD RD	6.1	PAVED
OHIO GULCH RD	3.6	UNPAVED
WILDERNESS DR	3.5	UNPAVED
ROCKY MTN DR	3	UNPAVED
LIVERPOOL MINE RD	3.5	UNPAVED
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 1	3.4	UNPAVED
CUTOFF RD	7.8	PAVED
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 2	2.1	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.  
 4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS








**General Notes:**

- ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:
  - ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED
  - GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)
- THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
HALFORD RD	6.1	PAVED
LIVERPOOL MINE RD	3.5	UNPAVED
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 1	3.4	UNPAVED
CHOCHECHERRY LN	3.9	UNPAVED
CUTOFF RD	7.8	PAVED
MOUNTAIN VIEW RD	4.1	UNPAVED
LUMP GULCH RD SECTION 2	2.1	PAVED


- NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.
- AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**  
**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**  
 JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
PINECREST RD	3.4	UNPAVED
MOUNTAIN VIEW RD	4.1	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MCCELLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
McCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
HIGHLAND MEADOW RD	2.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
TRAVIS CREEK RD	2.3	UNPAVED
HIGHLAND MEADOW RD	2.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
TRAVIS CREEK RD	2.3	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**




- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







## PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS



**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 1	1.4	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




## EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW

### MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE	ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
BEAVER LN	3.4	UNPAVED	GRIZZLY WAY	3.6	UNPAVED
SPRING HOLLOW RD	3.4	UNPAVED	GREENWOOD TRL SECTION 1	3.5	UNPAVED
PARK PL	4.4	UNPAVED	ANTELOPE WY	2.6	UNPAVED
PINE CONE CIR	1.9	UNPAVED	WHITETAIL LP	2.5	UNPAVED
PINE CONE CIR	3.4	UNPAVED	WHITETAIL LANE	3.6	UNPAVED
HIDDEN VALLEY LP	3.9	UNPAVED	BEARPAW TRL	3.3	UNPAVED
HIDDEN VALLEY RD	2.9	UNPAVED	HORSE SHOE LN	3.4	UNPAVED
RIDGE CIR	3.1	UNPAVED	ROCK RIDGE DR	3.5	UNPAVED
FOREST PARK DR	2.8	UNPAVED	MOUNTAIN VIEW RD	4.1	UNPAVED
PINE RIDGE CIRCLE	1.9	UNPAVED	FOREST PARK DR	6.3	PAVED
MIDDLE LOOP RD	4.5	UNPAVED	GREENWOOD TRL SECTION 2	8.5	PAVED
COUGAR WAY	3.8	UNPAVED			


3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



MSL, MDT, County Govt.  
 Federal, Tribal, Sources: Esri,  
 HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA,  
 USGS, © OpenStreetMap  
 contributors, and the GIS  
 User Community

**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE	ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
SUNDANCE RIDGE RD	3.9	PAVED	ROCK RIDGE DR	3.5	UNPAVED
ASPEN LN	3.1	UNPAVED	OWL HOOT RIDGE	4.1	UNPAVED
AMBUSH RIDGE	2.9	UNPAVED	HANGING TREE GULCH RD	8.2	PAVED
BOOTLEGGER TR	3.9	UNPAVED	PINECREST RD	3.4	UNPAVED
RUSTLERS FORK RD	3.4	UNPAVED	PINECREST LP	3.4	UNPAVED
ROBBERS ROOST RD	2.8	UNPAVED	MOUNTAIN VIEW RD	4.1	UNPAVED
VIGILANTE TR	3.5	UNPAVED	FOREST PARK DR	6.3	PAVED
GREENWOOD TR SECTION 1	3.5	UNPAVED	GREENWOOD TR SECTION 2	8.5	PAVED
WHITETAIL LANE	3.6	UNPAVED	VIGILANTE TR	6.4	PAVED
BEARPAW TR	3.3	UNPAVED	HANGING TREE GULCH RD	3.8	UNPAVED
HORSE SHOE LN	3.4	UNPAVED			

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MCCELLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
McCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MCCELLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED


3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



MSL, MDT, County Govt, Federal, Tribal, Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
TRAVIS CREEK RD	2.3	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 1	1.4	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
HILL BROTHERS RD	3.5	UNPAVED
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 3	7.4	PAVED
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 1	1.4	UNPAVED
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 2	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
HILL BROTHERS RD	3.5	UNPAVED
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 3	7.4	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
EVERGREEN CT	3.6	UNPAVED
BRIDGER MOUNTAIN RD S	3.8	UNPAVED
BRIDGER MOUNTAIN RD N	3.9	UNPAVED
MISSION MTN RD	3.2	PAVED
BITTERROOT MTN RD	8.9	PAVED
CRAZY MOUNTAIN RD	8.3	PAVED
GRASSY MTN RD	8.1	PAVED
RUBY MTN RD	7.4	PAVED
LONE MOUNTAIN RD	8.8	PAVED
BRIDLE BIT LP	3.1	UNPAVED
SADDLE MOUNTAIN DR SECTION 2	8.5	PAVED
CRAZY MTN CUL-DE-SAC	3.1	UNPAVED
SADDLE MOUNTAIN DR SECTION 1	3	UNPAVED
MCCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 2	8.2	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
BIG BEAR RD	2.5	UNPAVED
MISSION MTN RD	3.2	PAVED
MCCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED
BIG DIPPER DR	8.5	PAVED
BITTERROOT MTN RD	8.9	PAVED
CRAZY MOUNTAIN RD	8.3	PAVED
GRASSY MTN RD	8.1	PAVED
RUBY MTN RD	7.4	PAVED
LONE MOUNTAIN RD	8.8	PAVED
BRIDLE BIT LP	3.1	UNPAVED
SADDLE MOUNTAIN DR SECTION 2	8.5	PAVED
CRAZY MTN CUL-DE-SAC	3.1	UNPAVED
SAWMILL RD	2.4	UNPAVED
SADDLE MOUNTAIN DR SECTION 1	3	UNPAVED
MCCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 2	8.2	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



**SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
McCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**




**SURFACE**

- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MCCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
FIDDLERS GREEN RD	4.5	UNPAVED
HILL BROTHERS RD	3.5	UNPAVED
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 3	7.4	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**




- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS







## PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS



**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS
- RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT
  - RATING 8 - VERY GOOD
  - RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD
  - RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR
  - RATING 3 - 2 - POOR
  - RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS
- RATING 5 - EXCELLENT
  - RATING 4 - GOOD
  - RATING 3 - FAIR
  - RATING 2 - POOR
  - RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
FIDDLERS GREEN RD	4.5	UNPAVED
HILL BROTHERS RD	3.5	UNPAVED
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 3	7.4	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




## EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW

MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATING RINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MCCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 1	8	PAVED
WONDER RD	6.4	PAVED
SECOND ST	3.8	UNPAVED
HILL ST	3.5	UNPAVED
SAWMILL RD	2.4	UNPAVED
MICROWAVE HILL RD	7.3	PAVED
JACKSON CR RD SECTION 3	7.4	PAVED
JOHNS ST	7.4	PAVED
MCCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 2	8.2	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**




- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







## PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS



**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS
- RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT
  - RATING 8 - VERY GOOD
  - RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD
  - RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR
  - RATING 3 - 2 - POOR
  - RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS
- RATING 5 - EXCELLENT
  - RATING 4 - GOOD
  - RATING 3 - FAIR
  - RATING 2 - POOR
  - RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
SAWMILL RD	2.4	UNPAVED
MCCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 2	8.2	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




## EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW

MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MCCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS








U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Services Agency Aerial Photography Field Office.



## PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS



**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MITCHELL RD	2.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




## EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW

### MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
SOUTH HILLS DR	7.6	PAVED
SOUTH HILLS RD	6.7	PAVED
HOLMES GULCH RD	2.9	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
SOUTH HILLS DR	7.6	PAVED
SOUTH HILLS RD	6.7	PAVED
HOLMES GULCH RD	2.9	UNPAVED
HOLMES GULCH RD	6.1	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
SOUTH HILLS RD	6.7	PAVED
HOMESTEAD ESTATES RD	3.5	UNPAVED
MICROWAVE HILL RD	7.3	PAVED
HOLMES GULCH RD	6.1	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS




U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Services Agency Aerial Photography Field Office.





## PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS



**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MUSKRAT TRL	3.8	UNPAVED
BEAVERSLIDE RD	3.4	UNPAVED
BUFFALO RUN RD	3.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




## EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW

MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT





**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD RATING RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MCLELLAN CREEK RD SECTION 3	2.5	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Services Agency Aerial Photography Field Office.

**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


- 1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
MITCHELL RD	2.8	UNPAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT




**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**  
 — PAVED  
 — UNPAVED  
 ● PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS

ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
SOUTH HILLS DR	7.6	PAVED
SOUTH HILLS RD	6.7	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).




**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
- UNPAVED
- PASER MILE POSTS







**General Notes:**

1. ROADWAY EVALUATIONS WERE PERFORMED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING (PASER) MANUAL FOR ASPHALT AND GRAVEL ROADS PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION CENTER. QUALITATIVE RATING DESCRIPTIONS UNDER THE PASER SYSTEM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1. ASPHALT ROAD ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 10 - 9 EXCELLENT  
 RATING 8 - VERY GOOD  
 RATING 7 - 6 - GOOD  
 RATING 5 - 4 - FAIR  
 RATING 3 - 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED


1.2. GRAVEL ROAD RATINGS  
 RATING 5 - EXCELLENT  
 RATING 4 - GOOD  
 RATING 3 - FAIR  
 RATING 2 - POOR  
 RATING 1 - FAILED (OR INADEQUATELY CONSTRUCTED)

2. THE OVERALL PASER RATINGS FOR EACH ROAD ARE AS FOLLOWS


ROADNAME	RATING	SURFACE
SOUTH HILLS DR	7.6	PAVED
SOUTH HILLS RD	6.7	PAVED

3. NO SURVEY WORK WAS PERFORMED.

4. AERIAL IMAGERY FROM MONTANA SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE (MSDI) AND THE MONTANA STATE LIBRARY (2004 AND 2013).



**PLAN VIEW OF ROAD NETWORK**



- SURFACE**
- PAVED
  - UNPAVED
  - PASER MILE POSTS



**EXHIBIT A - PASER RATINGS ROAD NETWORK OVERVIEW**

**MONTANA CITY ROAD DISTRICT**

JEFFERSON COUNTY  
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT